

Horticulture Impact Assessment Program

TG19004 – Minimum maturity standards adoption across the table grape supply chain – Case study

June 2025



Hort Innovation Disclaimer:

Horticulture Innovation Australia Limited (Hort Innovation) makes no representations and expressly disclaims all warranties (to the extent permitted by law) about the accuracy, completeness, or currency of information in this milestone report.

Users of this final report should take independent action to confirm any information in this final report before relying on that information in any way.

Reliance on any information provided by Hort Innovation is entirely at your own risk. Hort Innovation is not (to the extent permitted by law) responsible for, and will not be liable for, any loss, damage, claim, expense, cost (including legal costs) or other liability arising in any way (including from Hort Innovation or any other person's negligence or otherwise) from your use or non-use of the final report or from reliance on information contained in the milestone report or that Hort Innovation provides to you by any other means.

ACIL Allen Reliance and disclaimer

The professional analysis and advice in this report has been prepared by ACIL Allen for the exclusive use of the party or parties to whom it is addressed (the addressee) and for the purposes specified in it. This report is supplied in good faith and reflects the knowledge, expertise and experience of the consultants involved. The report must not be published, quoted or disseminated to any other party without ACIL Allen's prior written consent. ACIL Allen accepts no responsibility whatsoever for any loss occasioned by any person acting or refraining from action as a result of reliance on the report, other than the addressee.

In conducting the analysis in this report ACIL Allen has endeavoured to use what it considers is the best information available at the date of publication, including information supplied by the addressee. ACIL Allen has relied upon the information provided by the addressee and has not sought to verify the accuracy of the information supplied. If the information is subsequently determined to be false, inaccurate or incomplete then it is possible that our observations and conclusions as expressed in this report may change. The passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions or impacts of future events may require further examination of the project and subsequent data analysis, and re-evaluation of the data, findings, observations and conclusions expressed in this report. Unless stated otherwise, ACIL Allen does not warrant the accuracy of any forecast or projection in the report. Although ACIL Allen exercises reasonable care when making forecasts or projections, factors in the process, such as future market behaviour, are inherently uncertain and cannot be forecast or projected reliably. ACIL Allen may from time to time utilise artificial intelligence (AI) tools in the performance of the services. ACIL Allen will not be liable to the addressee for loss consequential upon the use of AI tools.

This report does not constitute a personal recommendation of ACIL Allen or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of the addressee in relation to any transaction that the addressee is contemplating. Investors should consider whether the content of this report is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if appropriate, seek their own professional advice and carry out any further necessary investigations before deciding whether or not to proceed with a transaction. ACIL Allen shall not be liable in respect of any claim arising out of the failure of a client investment to perform to the advantage of the client or to the advantage of the client to the degree suggested or assumed in any advice or forecast given by ACIL Allen

Funding statement:

Horticulture Impact Assessment Program 2024-25 – 2026-27 is funded by Hort Innovation. This multi-industry project is a strategic levy investment in the Hort Innovation Almond, Apple & Pear, Avocado, Banana, Blueberry, Cherry, Citrus, Dried Grape, Lychee, Macadamia, Mango, Melon, Mushroom, Nursery, Olive, Onion, Papaya, Passionfruit, Persimmon, Pineapple, Pistachio, Potato – Fresh, Potato – Processing, Raspberry and Blackberry, Strawberry, Summerfruit, Sweetpotato, Table Grape, Turf, and Vegetable Funds

Publishing details:

ISBN <Hort Innovation to add>

Published and distributed by: Horticulture Innovation Australia Limited
ABN 71 602100149

Level 7
141 Walker Street

North Sydney NSW 2060

Telephone: (02) 8295 2300

www.horticulture.com.au

© Copyright 2025 Horticulture Innovation Australia Limited

TG19004 Minimum maturity standards adoption across the table grape supply chain

David Welfare

Table 1 TG19004

Stakeholder	David Welfare - Executive Manager Quality Assurance
Business	Aldi

What was the project about?

Previous investments by Hort Innovation in the table grape industry has identified a need for a Minimum Maturity Standards (MMS) to be adopted across the industry.

TG19004: Minimum Maturity Standards Adoption Across the Table Grape Supply Chain was implemented to support the achievement of widespread MMS adoption across the industry, from growers to retailers, to ensure high and consistent table grape quality. Specifically, the project aimed to:

- Drive accountability across all key stakeholders (growers, wholesalers, major supermarkets) to ensure that the new MMS are fully implemented and adopted, resulting in greater consumer acceptability.
- Undertake quality testing (in retailer stores) and to measure impact of change with the launch and adoption of the new MMS across the value chain.
- Continue to drive best-practice across the supply chain from growers to retail through education and engagement mechanisms and drive a positive culture focused on high quality standards for Australian table grapes.

David's involvement in adopting the MMS

David was involved in Table Grapes MMS since 2014. He provided data on table grape maturity from Aldi distribution centres to the project group as part of the monitoring activities of table grape maturity. Additionally, he provided recommendations on how to improve the project, liaised with the project team and stakeholders, as well as with other retailers to ensure that immature table grapes are not getting onto supermarket shelves.

What benefits have you seen because of the project, particularly in improvement of grape quality?

Both anecdotal evidence and consumer reports show that the project has achieved getting sweeter grapes to the retail shelves. David said:

“Customers get a good eating experience every time they go, rather than that swing of sometimes they’re not great, sometimes they’re great and that’s all about providing the confidence in them to support a repeat purchase of the product. The goal is to have the MMS at an industry agreed level.”

This project has allowed a focus on whether improvements need to be made at a grower level, a market level

or a retailer level.

“It puts a spotlight on what we should be doing to support everyone across the supply chain.”

Why was the project important to retailers, customers and industry?

“The key part is getting customers to participate in eating grapes and when they do, be confident that the next time, they will have as good an eating experience as they just did.”

Since Brix content¹ and variability are the key variables for driving repeat purchase and increasing consumer satisfaction, the project played an important role in maintaining these standards so that all parties, from growers to retailers and consumers, are benefitted.

What were the challenges in adopting the MMS?

David shared that one of the key challenges in adopting the MMS was getting the grower base to accept that there was a problem around some of the immature fruit hitting the market and getting the grower base to understand what the benefits would be of an MMS.

Another challenge was finding a way to effectively control the immature fruit hitting the market and providing feedback to those parties that needed support to achieve the minimum maturity standards.

On-farm testing typically is designed to provide a broad indication when the season may be ready to commence, as there are many on-farm variables that affect maturity, such as slope aspect, soil type, irrigation, plant foliage density, fruit load, agronomic practices. This means on-farm maturity monitoring is not an accurate way to judge the consumer experience. Once harvested, poor quality fruit, even though it may be rejected by a major retailer, will still ultimately reach (and dissatisfy) consumers via central markets. Therefore, the support from retailers has been critical to ensure the success of the project.

Is there a part of the project that could have been improved?

David shared that the monitoring of MMS in table grapes is important to the whole industry and to consumers.

It is not only the retailer's responsibility to ensure that the MMS is being met, but also the grower. However, retailers are the last line of defence to ensure that quality grapes are getting into the hands of consumers. This is important because the retailer decides whether they accept or reject the stock based on that maturity standard, which has a flow on effect for their customers. Assessing the MMS is a time-consuming task for retailers.

Do you have any final recommendations or thoughts?

The project was well received across the industry and the supply chain. It demonstrated how MMS could be successfully implemented to benefit growers, retailers and customers alike. This resulted in other industries looking to implement MMS in their own supply chain, such as the melon industry.

¹ Brix is a measure of the concentration of sugar in a solution, usually expressed as a percentage by weight (grams of sugar per 100 grams of solution). It's a common measurement in the food and beverage industry to determine sweetness, ripeness, and quality, especially in fruits and fruit products.